Reproducibility of Information Science Research





Center for Spatial Studies

Department of Geography
University of California, Santa Barbara

Products of Research

The products of research (in information science) are:

- 1. **Questions** and hypotheses (i.e., statements of research problems and solution ideas)
- 2. **Software** (i.e., executable code in some programming language(s))
- 3. **Data** (i.e., evidence, in some file or database format(s))
- 4. Narratives (i.e., publications or reports, establishing the context).

The narratives guide the reproduction of some data by (often different) software.







Reproducing research requires **understanding** what the question(s) and tested answers were. This necessitates

- clarity of the questions, hypotheses, and narrative
- testability of the hypotheses
- preferably: falsifiability of the hypotheses.

Claim: reproducibility mainly fails through violations of these **standard science** requirements. Addressing this issue is likely to have most impact.



Software



Software specifies experiments. These follow requirements of regular experimental science, including specifications of

- controlled, fixed, and observed variables
- the experimental **method** (i.e., the code)
- the complete **environment** in which the experiment was run
- all results of the experiments, whether these are desirable or not.

Claim: **open source** is neither required nor sufficient for reproducibility. The programming language implementation and processor specifics are often closed or undocumented. Furthermore, executable code is almost never understandable on its own, and open source tends to come with less traceability than commercial software.



Data



Data are experimental results. They need to be

- available for inspection (open data)
- **interpretable**, i.e., with explicit semantics preventing misunderstandings
- reproducible in the sense of confirming the published results.

Claim: **open data** and **explicit semantics** are essential for reproducibility. Linked data is ideal for both, because it is the minimal data model and can make semantics explicit.

But: open data in itself, even with semantics, is **far from sufficient** for reproducibility.

